

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/040,191	10/26/2001	James R. Mault	MJA-22802/03	9028
25006	7590 06/04/2003			
GIFFORD, KRASS, GROH, SPRINKLE ANDERSON & CITKOWSKI, PC 280 N OLD WOODARD AVE SUITE 400 BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			EXAMINER	
			BELLAMY, TAMIKO D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DIKWINGHA	141, 1411 40009		2856	
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Chi			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
0.00	10/040,191	MAULT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tamiko D. Bellamy	2856			
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communing the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum staten - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set of extended period for re	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, m nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum outory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) iill, by statute, cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>03 April 2003</i> .				
	b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 22-45 is/are pending in the	• •				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34-45</u> is/are allowed.	-4 - J				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-28, and 30-33</u> is/are rejection	ctea.				
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict Application Papers	ion and/or election requirement	•			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim t	or foreign priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority of	ocuments have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority of	ocuments have been received	in Application No			
	tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r domestic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign land 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	O-948) 5) Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 7			

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment dated 4/3/03 has been received and entered. <u>Claims 1-21</u> have been canceled. <u>Claims 22-45</u> are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 22, 27, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bianco (4,855,942) in view of Allen et al. (5,749,372).

With respect to claims 22, 27, and 28, Bianco discloses in fig. 2 a case 31, a strap 32 placed around the wrist (col. 8, lines 23-25), a time button 33, and a lap/reset button 34 (col. 8, lines 30-32). The lap/reset button is equivalent to an activity entry means. Bianco lacks the detail of an activity level sensor, a processor that uses the activity level signal, and an external display communicating the activity level. Allen et al. discloses a device that monitors the current or instantaneous activity level (col. 2, lines 45-56). Allen et al. also discloses a computer interface 34, and a visual displays 18 and 19. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use Bianco to the teachings of Allen et al. to monitor activities of an user including an activity level sensor and processor as the system of Bianco would operated equally well on either tested system. Evidence of this can be found in Bianco which

discloses that crystal 17 provides an aural signal each time the subject takes a stride (col. 6, lines 57-59).

4. Claims 23-26, 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bianco (4,855,942) in view of Allen et al. (5,749,372) as applied to claim 22 above, and further in view of Mault (2002/0027164).

With respect to claim 23, the combination of Bianco and Allen et al. discloses that in order to read out stored signals indicative of the number of calories consumed, the button 35 is pressed (Bianco, col. 14, lines 58-65). The combination of Bianco and Allen et al. lacks the detail of a food consumption entry means. Mault discloses that the PDA (personal digital assistant) 40 and the activity sensor 45 may be combined (pg. 6, par. 71); and the PDA acts as an activity sensor when carried on a belt (pg. 6, par. 71). Furthermore, Mault discloses the imaging device 43 records images of foods consumed (pg. 6, par. 76). Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use the combination of Bianco and Allen et al. according to the teachings of Mault to monitor activities of an user including an food consumption entry means as the system of Bianco would operated equally well on either tested system. Evidence of this can be found in the combination of Bianco and Allen et al. which discloses that in order to read out stored signals indicative of the number of calories consumed, the button 35 is pressed (Bianco, col. 14, lines 58-65).

With respect to claims 24-26, the combination of Bianco and Allen et al. discloses all of the claim except for a communication link. Mault discloses that signals from the activity sensor 45 may be transmitted over the communication network 48 (pg. 8, par.

95). Hence, the output signals from the activity sensor 45 are transmitted to the PDA (personal digital assistant) 40. Mault also discloses the communication network 48 could be a wireless network (pg. 6, par 74). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use the combination of Bianco and Allen et al. according to the teachings of Mault to monitor activities of an user including communication link as the system of Bianco would operated equally well on either tested system. Evidence of this can be found in the combination of Bianco and Allen et al. which discloses activity level monitoring. Therefore, the computing device processes received signals from the users desired location.

With respect to claims 30 -32, the combination of Bianco and Allen et al. discloses all of the claim except for sensing a physiological parameter or use in determining a activity level of the user. Mault discloses that the PDA (personal digital assistant) 40 and the activity sensor 45 may be combined (pg. 6, par. 71); and the PDA acts as an activity sensor when carried on a belt (pg. 6, par. 71). The activity sensor is equivalent to a physiological sensor. With respect to the further limitations of claims 31 and 32, Mault disclose that other physiological data may be transmitted from the PDA (pg. 6, par. 74). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use the combination of Bianco and Allen et al. according to the teachings of Mault to monitor activities of an user including a physiological sensor as the system of Bionco would operated equally well on either tested system. Evidence of this can be found in the combination of Bianco and Allen et al. that disclose an activity level monitoring. Therefore, the device using a combination of

activity level senor and a physiological sensor determine the users desired level of activity.

With respect to claim 33, the combination of Bianco and Allen et al. discloses all of the claim except for capturing a digital image. Mault discloses capturing the image and recording it in memory (pg. 6, par. 77). Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use the combination of Bianco and Allen et al. according to the teachings of Mault to monitor activities of an user including a capturing a digital image as the system of Bionco would operated equally well on either tested system. Evidence of this can be found in the combination of Bianco and Allen et al. which discloses that in order to read out stored signals indicative of the number of calories consumed (Bianco, col. 14, lines 58-65).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 29 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 34-45 are allowed

With respect to claim 34 and 41, the specific limitation as to correlating the resting metabolic rate with the activity metabolic rate to determine a metabolic rate correlation factor, and using the a metabolic rate correlation factor and a sensed activity level to determine an activity expenditure is not taught and/or made obvious by the prior art. Prior art makes use of a determining the number the number of calories consumed during an exercise. However, prior art

does not take into account of determining resting metabolic rate and activity metabolic rate in determining the activity caloric expenditure.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamiko D. Bellamy whose telephone number is (703) 305-4971. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on (703) 305-4705. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

Tamiko Bellamy

T.3.

May 23, 2003

HELEN KWOK PRIMARY EXAMINATION PRIMARY EXAMINATION